



December 1st, 2022 | [Zoom Link](#)

1. Most importantly, it is my birthday: wish me a happy birthday, now!
 - **Sophia and Destiny are stuck downtown due to Pio issues but are Lyfting to the meeting**
2. Review last meeting's [minutes](#): vote to approve
 - **Minutes unanimously approved**
3. Dining Committee updates: **newly institutionalized committee**
 - a. Led by Ryan Jensen and Ben Meoz
 - b. **4 CAS**; 1 Grad rep; 1 Law school rep;
 - i. Belle Tucker
 - ii. Isa Pasalic
 - iii. Michelle Burger
 - iv. Iris Swanberg
 - c. Staff and faculty will be on the committee as well
4. Lyft Survey: Moving forward
 - a. **Vote to approve** initial 50k for project from the Rollover Fund
 - i. Cabinet has voted in support of this number...
 - **We had around \$600,000 in the rollover fund, this has changed because we have started sectioning money away for allocations**
 - **With estimated Finance Committee spending, we will have \$460,000**
 - **This is larger because student orgs haven't been spending all their money due to COVID**
 - **Cabinet's votes don't mean anything officially, but the vote reflects that Cabinet supports this initiative**
 - **Josh says with \$50,000 every student will get \$25 a month**
 - **Yonus asks if it's possible that this service could be sign-up only, Sophia responds that Lyft would send LC emails a log-in that would allow them to access their monthly Lyft rewards**
 - **This will not roll over, it will reset each month**

- This program is very flexible in how we implement it; during the first two Senate meetings next semester we can talk about how we will implement it but we want to get started with sending Josh money soon
- The rollover fund is too large; Madeleine wants us to give this money back to students
- Students did not like the idea of designated spots for Lyfts; ideally we will have a radius where Lyfts can come
- Alex brings up that the utilization rate for comparable institutions is around 12%
- \$25 a student is divided up based on the assumption that all students will enroll, we are assuming that all students will enroll so that everyone has the opportunity
- The money that is not spent will remain in the Lyft program
- If the \$50k runs out mid-semester (this might happen if far more students use it than we predict), we would have another Senate vote to decide whether we would spend more money or stop the program and ask admin to pay for it and reinstate it
- Motion to vote is seconded; we need 9 people to say yes for this to pass
- Yes: 12
- No: 0
- The Lyft program will be instituted!

5. SRR Food Pantry

- Evette is trying to open a Food Pantry for students to get food for free, this has not been finalized yet
- Evette is trying to get vending machines in Templeton for when the Troom is closed; we would be able to use flex points but not meal swipes
- Sophia and Destiny arrive, everyone sings happy birthday :) Happy 21 Sophia we love you!

6. Director of Campus Safety Open Community Forums

- a. Candidate #1: Friday, December 2nd 3:30pm to 4:15pm in Miller 102
- b. Candidate #2: Monday, December 12th 9:30am to 10:15am in Miller 102
 - Please attend if you can; we want to see if these candidates reflect students' values of safety

7. Structural Safety Info Session

- a. A preface to the presentation...
- b. David Ernevad will be discussing the structural engineering report (he is Zooming in due to illness)
 - i. Keeping in code and compliance

- Every time a new building is constructed, an official building permit has to be issued based on the time period of the construction
- The building code grows and changes over the years
- The typical code cycle is 3 years; this means around every 3 years there is enough revision to the code that it has to be re-presented
- There is always active dialogue about adopting these codes and how they will be implemented
- There is often upwards of a 2 year wait period before the code is implemented
- Events happen such as natural disasters or electrical issues that affect codes
- ADA considerations are currently being considered in relations to future codes
- In the event of an earthquake, masonry buildings are at more of a risk
- However, it is incredibly expensive to reinforce these buildings
- There are going to be ADA improvements and seismic upgrades in Stewart-Odell; this is not required by regulation but it is the right thing to do

ii. Maintaining aging infrastructure on campus

- They do a large amount of regular work to keep buildings safe
- Every elevator is inspected annually by an inspector from the state of Oregon
- Thyssen-Krup keeps the elevators maintained
- In the event of elevator malfunctions or entrapments, Thyssen-Krup employees come inspect the elevator and make sure it is safe
- They also do third-party biannual boiler inspections: the hot water and most of the heating in dorms comes from boiler vessels that have historically been dangerous
- LC is moving from boilers to electric in order to decarbonize
- Building fire alarm systems are certified annually by Performance Systems Integrated
- Fire escapes are recertified every 5 years by being loaded down with 2500 pounds of weight
- The water that we drink comes from a city system; at some point in the last 20 years there has been thorough water testing to rule out lead presence

- There is a quarterly Safety Committee meeting per the Oregon state requirements
- Yonas asks what the brick buildings are called that are not reinforced: David says they are called un-reinforced masonry (URM)
- Yonas asks if URM buildings are less safe in an earthquake: David says yes
- Yonas asks if there have been efforts to stabilize these buildings: David says generally no due to the cost and the work of the building having to be torn down in many cases
- Yonas asks if there has been some sort of natural disaster safety evaluation on these buildings: David says no
- Evette adds that is important for occupants of buildings/offices to say something if there is an issue with the building through the service request pathway

iii. Prioritizing capital projects

- Capital projects are often of a higher level and are depreciable
- This sits on 4 pillars: safety, accessibility, sustainability, and deferred maintenance
- These are categorized in the capital budget plan
- The decision-making process is often difficult with a lot of competing interests within the college
- There is a current \$100 million proposal to decarbonize the CAS plant
- Yonas asks if there are carbon monoxide detectors and is there a danger of carbon monoxide in the dorm buildings?: David says there are carbon monoxide detectors where gas is being combusted; gas is not combusted in the dorm so there are no carbon monoxide detectors or danger
- Yonas says the dorm halls are not as clean as other buildings: David responds that we have a third-party custodial service and this may be due to staffing issues (generally, staffing is up to par)
- Sophia adds that students are often messy
- Zoom meeting is ended, thank you David!

8. Anything else to add (mayhaps wishing your lovely chair a happy birthday again, just a thought) I yield the floor now...

- Rocky's updates:

- ❖ As Templeton construction moves toward completion, the walkway in front of Templeton is open! You can walk through, but not drive your car
- ❖ The rest of space will be opening soon, we will enter the Bon again from the front entrance and groups will be moving back into Templeton (this will probably happen in January)
- ❖ The Stewart-Odell construction will begin after we leave for winter break, 8-9 offices will be trying to find locations and these will be revealed in January
- M3 brings up the racist LC alert: an RA on-call said one of her students who fit the description called her and expressed fear
 - Today M3 met with BSU and other people from student life to discuss this incident
 - M3 met with Evette to discuss the event and give their RA anecdote
 - Summary: there was official communication that was launched on November 24 at midnight
 - A student saw a single person jiggling doorknobs through their peephole and heard this person shuffling around in the rooms, they then called Campus Safety
 - Campus safety responded to the report and saw two people attempting to enter rooms and shuffling around inside; they called 4 police officers and the suspects were interviewed as well as victims of theft
 - The alert went out without following any of the templates we have for LC alerts; it was not approved by the interim Campus Safety director or anyone else
 - A lot of the students in the BSU felt angry and like Campus Safety is out to get them instead of protecting them; Bill Curtis agreed
 - Student life wants to take away the ability for LC alerts to be sent out based on judgment calls of Campus Safety officers; take away the ability for Campus Safety officers to send out LC alerts; and make mandatory implicit bias trainings for Campus Safety officers
 - Student life also wants to make an official policy for LC alerts
 - Student POV's from the meeting:
 - ❖ Cole says it should be common sense for us to accept Black students as part of the community; we should know the names and faces of all Black students on campus
 - ❖ The most disturbing part of the LC alert is that there was no communication about what the two men were doing aside from "roaming"; the vague physical descriptions apply to many students

- ❖ DJ Smith agreed that intent does not equal impact; even good intentions can really harm someone; there needs to be an apology from student life and action needs to be taken until it is second nature to accept and protect Black students; create transparency and advocate for the Black students on campus, it shouldn't be the Black students job to ask for support from their community
- ❖ Much of the conversation focused on rebuilding trust between student life and Black students
- VPSL Evette Castillo-Clark arrives
- Maddie runs an LC account and posted about how this message was disturbing and wrong; some students swiped up not understanding how this was wrong and saying no one would have protested if it was a white student. Maddie brings up how the things we're discussing here should be discussed with the entire student body
- Cole suggested that we move towards personal identifiers such as "dark-skinned", "light-skinned", or "fair-skinned" rather than racial descriptors because there is no one way to look a certain race; outfits should also be described in specifics
- Vasti brings up how he has friends who have dread locs, he was disturbed by the message and had feelings of not knowing who to trust in admin
- Tallulah brings up that the email was too vague about what was happening and a lot of students were at home left to wonder about the safety of their rooms and belongings
- Maddie had friends who were worried about the possibility of a shooting due to the vague nature of the alert
- Rose says that the alert was unhelpful and didn't communicate any relevant information to students
- Madeleine adds that Copeland residents were given a more specific message saying that door knobs were being jiggled and break-ins were being attempted
- Helena asks if this is the reason we're interviewing a new Campus Safety director; Sophia responds that we already needed someone to fill the role after our past director took a new job
- Yonus noticed that some Campus Safety officers can be very unfriendly and unhelpful when students have issues such as doors being locked
- Sophia agrees that there are ongoing issues with Campus Safety
- Evette says that she echoes everything students say; the alert should not have gone out and they are trying to make progress and retrain staff so that we can make things better; she also had a "wtf" reaction
- Evette says she makes personal and professional commitment to rectify this
- Clarification: M3 means Madeleine Muriel MacWilliamson
- After the structural safety meeting, Senate is adjourned

- **M3 tells Senators they need to use their outreach dollars next semester (they want to see a Yerba Mate giveaway)**